UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America	ORDER
V.	

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Ismael Perez-Torres	Case Number: _	12-7688m			
present and wa order the deter		preponderance of the ev				
I find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:					
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.					
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.					
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.					
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant be substantial family ties to Mexico.	out has no substantial ties	in Arizona	or in the United States and has		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.					
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	ve	ars imprison	ment.		

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, fom persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custod pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. Onorder of a court of the United States or on request of anattorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order befiled with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the dat of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third partys to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the Distric t Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to in terview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 28th day of December, 2012.

Bridget S. Bade
United States Magistrate Judge